

<b>Date</b>														
<b>Names</b> (who is reporting SII-PDCA)														
<b>Department/Office/Committee</b>														
<b>Subject of Assessment</b> <i>Examples: Course (number), Committee (name), Event (name), Process (name), Curriculum (program name), Initiative (name), Plan (name), Project (name), Performance / Presentation / Paper / Poster (name), Past SII-PDCA (name/date), Other (describe)</i>														
<b>Instrument Used or Type of Data Collected (instructors are advised to use at least three sources of data in assessing and improving their courses)</b>  <i>Check off the assessment instruments used, who was assessed, and the date the instrument was delivered.</i>  <i>You may attach copies of the assessments used to help inform the SII-PDCA process.</i>  <i>For Course Review: please ensure three assessment instruments are considered. You must consider the end of course student survey and major course tests and other summative performances in your SII-PDCA process.</i>		Check one or more boxes then describe below: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 5px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;">Survey Results <i>(End of course student survey results required for courses)</i></td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;">Tests/exam item analysis/student performance <b>(required for courses)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Focus Group Results</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Review of minutes or other ongoing record or reflection, e.g., "red book" for courses (name)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Formative student understanding within the course (e.g. Minute Paper, Muddiest Point, Student-Generated Test Questions, Classroom Opinion Polls)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">CLO/PLO/GLO rubrics and learning outcome data</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Program outcome data (e.g., licensing examinations, PCOA, event attendance, scholarly productivity)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Plan (e.g. strategic plan, earlier SII-PDCA forms, budgets, faculty adequacy model)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Input data (e.g., admission data, faculty/staff credentials on hire, student performance in prerequisite courses)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Peer review</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">External review (e.g. accreditor visit)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Other</td> </tr> </table>	Survey Results <i>(End of course student survey results required for courses)</i>	Tests/exam item analysis/student performance <b>(required for courses)</b>	Focus Group Results	Review of minutes or other ongoing record or reflection, e.g., "red book" for courses (name)	Formative student understanding within the course (e.g. Minute Paper, Muddiest Point, Student-Generated Test Questions, Classroom Opinion Polls)	CLO/PLO/GLO rubrics and learning outcome data	Program outcome data (e.g., licensing examinations, PCOA, event attendance, scholarly productivity)	Plan (e.g. strategic plan, earlier SII-PDCA forms, budgets, faculty adequacy model)	Input data (e.g., admission data, faculty/staff credentials on hire, student performance in prerequisite courses)	Peer review	External review (e.g. accreditor visit)	Other
Survey Results <i>(End of course student survey results required for courses)</i>	Tests/exam item analysis/student performance <b>(required for courses)</b>													
Focus Group Results	Review of minutes or other ongoing record or reflection, e.g., "red book" for courses (name)													
Formative student understanding within the course (e.g. Minute Paper, Muddiest Point, Student-Generated Test Questions, Classroom Opinion Polls)	CLO/PLO/GLO rubrics and learning outcome data													
Program outcome data (e.g., licensing examinations, PCOA, event attendance, scholarly productivity)	Plan (e.g. strategic plan, earlier SII-PDCA forms, budgets, faculty adequacy model)													
Input data (e.g., admission data, faculty/staff credentials on hire, student performance in prerequisite courses)	Peer review													
External review (e.g. accreditor visit)	Other													
		Assessment Name, Date, Who Was Assessed, Description of Assessments:												
<b>SII</b>	<b>Strengths</b> <i>And why are these strengths? How can you leverage these strengths?</i>													
<b>SII</b>	<b>Insights</b> <i>What did you learn? What surprised you?</i>													

	<p><b>Areas for Improvement</b>  <i>What problems did you experience?</i>  <i>What are the root causes of this problem?</i>  <i>What are your assumptions?</i></p>	
	<p><b>Plan:</b>  <i>What change is needed?</i>  <i>What literature addresses this problem?</i></p>	
	<p><b>Do:</b>  <i>What concrete steps will be taken? Is a pilot needed? Who will be impacted?</i>  <i>Who needs to buy-in? Who should you communicate with? Who is responsible for doing what?</i></p>	
	<p><b>Check:</b>  <i>What data will you collect and analyze to assess? Who will do the work? When will this be done?</i>  <b>Adjust:</b> Any changes implicated by assessment can be added to the original report or completed in a new SII-PDCA form</p>	
<p><b>Additional Comments</b></p>		
<p><b>Report Conveyed to (Admin/ Faculty or Chair / Committee) Please specify:</b></p>		
<p><b>Accreditation Standards related to Assessment Process Reviewed Above (to be added by DIAERC)</b></p>	<p><b>ACPE:</b></p> <p><b>COCA:</b></p> <p><b>WSCUC:</b></p>	

**When you select a button below, your SII-PDCA form will be emailed and channeled to the appropriate recipient for review.**